

When accomplished STEM teachers **press students for evidence-based explanations**, they may do one or more of the following:

<input type="checkbox"/> Model what a “good” justification, evaluation, or revision of a model, argument, or idea looks/sounds like (T39) ✪
<input type="checkbox"/> Model what constitutes an evidence-based explanation in STEM disciplines (T40)
<input type="checkbox"/> Model what drawing a conclusion looks/sounds like (T41)
<input type="checkbox"/> Ask many “why?” questions that require justification or elaboration (T2)
<input type="checkbox"/> Ask probing questions and follow-up questions of all students (T5)*
<input type="checkbox"/> Ask questions that cannot easily be reduced to closed questions (T8)
<input type="checkbox"/> Ask questions that will help students go deeper in their explanation (T9)
<input type="checkbox"/> Avoid explaining or evaluating models, arguments, and ideas for students (T23) Δ*
<input type="checkbox"/> Avoid providing, justifying, or confirming conclusions for students (T25) Δ
<input type="checkbox"/> Make clear that all student ideas are "fair game" for examination and discussion (T58) ✪ Δ *
<input type="checkbox"/> Provide just enough information, encouragement or questions to keep students thinking (e.g., praise-prompt-leave interaction) (T87)
<input type="checkbox"/> Take all student ideas and contributions seriously (T82) ✪*
<input type="checkbox"/> Ask students to clarify and expand on their thinking and the thinking of others (T104)
<input type="checkbox"/> Consistently clarify and expand on student thinking (T93)
<input type="checkbox"/> Hold students accountable to asking and responding to challenging questions (T112)
<input type="checkbox"/> Provide consistent, diverse opportunities for students to consider the reasonableness of their explanations (T114)
<input type="checkbox"/> Provide consistent, diverse opportunities to offer evidence-based explanations (T118)

***In these classrooms we expect to see a diverse range of students...***

<input type="checkbox"/> Articulating why they agree or disagree with a presented/shared claim (S21) ✪
<input type="checkbox"/> Clearly expecting and ready to be asked questions about their thinking (S45) ✪*
<input type="checkbox"/> Initiating talk with other students (S49)
<input type="checkbox"/> Making and defending all evaluative claims with mathematical or scientific evidence (S6) ✪
<input type="checkbox"/> Repeating and/or following-up their own or others’ questions until satisfied with peers’ answers (S56) ✪
<input type="checkbox"/> Using language support structures (e.g., sentence stems, word lists, etc.) to start and participate in small group conversation (S40) Δ*
<input type="checkbox"/> Using non-judgemental language (i.e. focusing on ideas, not people sharing them) (S41) Δ*

<b>Evidence Checklist</b>	<b>Core Practice: Facilitate Productive STEM Discourse</b>
---------------------------	--

When accomplished STEM teachers **press students for evidence-based explanations**, they may do one or more of the following:

<b>ALWAYS</b>		<b>STRATEGICALLY</b>	
<i>More Straightforward:</i>	<i>More Challenging:</i>	<i>More Straightforward:</i>	<i>More Challenging:</i>
___ Ask many “why?” questions that require justification or elaboration (T2) ___ Ask probing questions and follow-up questions of all students (T5)* ___ Ask questions that cannot easily be reduced to closed questions (T8) ___ Ask students to clarify and expand on their thinking and the thinking of others (T104)	___ Avoid explaining or evaluating models, arguments, and ideas for students (T23) Δ* ___ Avoid providing, justifying, or confirming conclusions for students (T25) Δ ___ Take all student ideas and contributions seriously (T82) ☆* ___ Provide just enough information, encouragement or questions to keep students thinking (e.g., praise-prompt-leave interaction) (T87) ___ Consistently clarify and expand on student thinking (T93)	___ Model what constitutes an evidence-based explanation in STEM disciplines (T40) ___ Model what drawing a conclusion looks/sounds like (T41) ___ Model what a “good” justification, evaluation, or revision of a model, argument, or idea looks/sounds like (T39) ☆ ___ Make clear that all student ideas are “fair game” for examination and discussion (T58) ☆ Δ*	___ Provide consistent, diverse opportunities for students to consider the reasonableness of their explanations (T114) ___ Ask questions that will help students go deeper in their explanation (T9) ___ Hold students accountable to asking and responding to challenging questions (T112) ___ Provide consistent, diverse opportunities to offer evidence-based explanations (T118)

***In these classrooms we expect to see a diverse range of students...***

___ Articulating why they agree or disagree with a presented/shared claim (S21) ☆
___ Clearly expecting and ready to be asked questions about their thinking (S45) ☆*
___ Initiating talk with other students (S49)
___ Making and defending all evaluative claims with mathematical or scientific evidence (S6) ☆
___ Repeating and/or following-up their own or others’ questions until satisfied with peers’ answers (S56) ☆
___ Using language support structures (e.g., sentence stems, word lists, etc.) to start and participate in small group conversation (S40) Δ*
___ Using non-judgemental language (i.e. focusing on ideas, not people sharing them) (S41) Δ*